

**Radboud** University



# Forward and Inverse Modeling of EEG and MEG data

## Robert Oostenveld

Donders Institute, Radboud University, Nijmegen, NL NatMEG, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, SE



Overview

Motivation and background Forward modeling Source model Volume conductor model Inverse modeling - biophysical models Single and multiple dipole fitting Distributed source models Beamforming methods Inverse modeling - independent components Summary

Overview

## **Motivation and background**

Forward modeling

- Source model
- Volume conductor model

#### Inverse modeling - biophysical models

- Single and multiple dipole fitting
- Distributed source models
- Beamforming methods

Inverse modeling - independent components

Summary

#### Motivation 1

#### Strong points of EEG and MEG

Temporal resolution (~1 ms) Characterize individual components of ERP Oscillatory activity Disentangle dynamics of cortical networks

#### Weak points of EEG and MEG Measurement on outside of brain Overlap of components Low spatial resolution

#### Motivation 2

If you find a ERP/ERF component, you want to characterize it in physiological terms Time or frequency are the "natural" characteristics "Location" requires interpretation of the scalp topography

Forward and inverse modeling helps to interpret the topography

Forward and inverse modeling helps to disentangle overlapping source timeseries

#### Superposition of source activity



Biophysical source modelling: overview



inverse model

Overview

## Motivation and background Forward modeling

- Source model
- Volume conductor model

#### Inverse modeling - biophysical models

- Single and multiple dipole fitting
- Distributed source models
- Beamforming methods
- Inverse modeling independent components

Summary

#### What produces the electric current





#### Equivalent current dipoles



Overview

## Motivation and background Forward modeling Source model Volume conductor model Inverse modeling - biophysical models Single and multiple dipole fitting Distributed source models Beamforming methods Inverse modeling - independent components Summary

Volume conductor

described electrical properties of tissue

describes geometrical model of the head

describes **how** the currents flow, not where they originate from

same volume conductor for EEG as for MEG, but also for tDCS, tACS, TMS, ...



Volume conductor

# Computational methods for volume conduction problem that allow for realistic geometries

- BEM Boundary Element Method
- FEM Finite Element Method
- FDM Finite Difference Method

Volume conductor: Boundary Element Method

Each compartment is homogenous isotropic

Important tissues skin skull brain (CSF)

Triangulated surfaces describe boundaries



#### Volume conductor: Boundary Element Method

## Construction of geometry

segmentation in different tissue types

extract surface description

downsample to reasonable number of triangles







Volume conductor: Boundary Element Method

Construction of geometry segmentation in different tissue types extract surface description downsample to reasonable number of triangles Computation of model independent of source model only one lengthy computation fast during application to real data Can also include more complex geometrical details ventricles holes in skull

Volume conductor: Finite Element Method

# Tesselation of 3D volume in tetraeders or hexaheders







#### Volume conductor: Finite Element Method





#### tetraeders

hexaheders

Volume conductor: Finite Element Method

# Tesselation of 3D volume in tetraeders or hexaheders



Each element can have its own conductivity

FEM is the most accurate numerical method but computationally quite expensive

Geometrical processing not as simple as BEM

Volume conductor: Finite Difference Method



#### Volume conductor: Finite Difference Method



$$\Delta V_1 / R_1 + \Delta V_2 / R_2 + \Delta V_3 / R_3 + \Delta V_4 / R_4 = 0$$

$$\Delta \mathbf{v}_1 / \mathbf{x}_1 + \Delta \mathbf{v}_2 / \mathbf{x}_2 + \Delta \mathbf{v}_3 / \mathbf{x}_3 + \Delta \mathbf{v}_4 / \mathbf{x}_4 = \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$$

 $(V_1-V_0)/R_1 + (V_2-V_0)/R_2 + (V_3-V_0)/R_3 + (V_4-V_0)/R_4 = 0$ 

Volume conductor: Finite Difference Method

Unknown potential Vi at each node Linear equation for each node approx. 100x100x100 = 1.000.000 linear equations just as many unknown potentials

Add a source/sink

sum of currents is zero for all nodes, except sum of current is I+ for a certain node sum of current is I- for another node

Solve for unknown potential

### EEG volume conduction



EEG volume conduction

Potential difference between electrodes corresponds to current flowing through skin

Only tiny fraction of current passes through skull

Therefore the model should describe the skull and skin as accurately as possible

#### MEG volume conduction

#### MEG measures magnetic field over the scalp



MEG volume conduction compared to EEG

## EEG is measurement on scalp potential difference due to volume currents

#### MEG field not affected by head

- magnetic field due to primary current (source)
- magnetic field due to secondary (volume) currents



Overview

#### Motivation and background

Forward modeling

Source model

Volume conductor model

EEG versus MEG

### **Inverse modeling - biophysical models**

Single and multiple dipole fitting

Distributed source models

Beamforming methods

Inverse modeling - independent components Summary Biophysical source modelling: overview

#### forward model



Inverse localization: demo



#### Inverse methods

#### Single and multiple dipole models

Minimize error between model and measured potential/field

#### Distributed source models

Perfect fit of model to the measured potential/field Additional constraint on source smoothness, power or amplitude

#### Spatial filtering

Scan the whole brain with a single dipole and compute the filter output at every locationBeamforming (e.g. LCMV, SAM, DICS)Multiple Signal Classification (MUSIC)

Overview

## Motivation and background Forward modeling Source model Volume conductor model Inverse modeling - biophysical models Single and multiple dipole fitting Distributed source models Beamforming methods Inverse modeling - independent components Summary

Single or multiple dipole models - Parameter estimation



Х

Parameter estimation: dipole parameters

source model with few parameters position orientation strength

compute the model data

minimize difference between actual and model data



Linear parameters: superposition of sources

three sources with parameters  $\zeta_1$ ,  $\zeta_2$  and  $\zeta_3$ 

 $Y(\xi_1)$   $Y(\xi_2)$   $Y(\xi_3)$ 

$$Y_{combined} = Y(\zeta_1) + Y(\zeta_2) + Y(\zeta_3)$$

#### Linear parameters: estimation

$$Y = G_{x}q_{x} + G_{y}q_{y} + G_{z}q_{z} = \begin{bmatrix} G_{x,1} & G_{y,1} & G_{z,1} \\ G_{x,2} & G_{y,2} & G_{z,2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ G_{x,N} & G_{y,N} & G_{z,N} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} q_{x} \\ q_{y} \\ q_{z} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{G} \cdot \vec{q}$$



 $Y = \mathbf{G} \cdot \vec{q}$  $= \mathbf{G}(\boldsymbol{\zeta}) \cdot \vec{q}$ 

 $\vec{q} = \mathbf{G}^{-1} \cdot Y$
Non-linear parameters

$$\varepsilon rror(\zeta) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( Y_i(\zeta) - V_i \right)^2 \implies \min_{\zeta} \left( \varepsilon rror(\zeta) \right)$$
  
$$\zeta = a, b, c, \dots$$



Non-linear parameters: grid search

One dimension, e.g. location along medial-lateral 100 possible locations Two dimensions, e.g. med-lat + inf-sup 100x100=10.000 Three dimensions 100x100x100 = 1.000.000 = 10<sup>6</sup>

Two dipoles, each with three dimensions  $100 \times 100 \times 100 \times 100 \times 100 \times 100 = 10^{12}$ 

Non-linear parameters: gradient descent optimization

$$\varepsilon rror(\zeta) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( Y_i(\zeta) - V_i \right)^2 \implies \min_{\zeta} \left( \varepsilon rror(\zeta) \right)$$
  
$$\zeta = a, b, c, \dots$$



Single or multiple dipole models - Strategies

Single dipole:

scan the whole brain, followed by iterative optimization

Two dipoles:

scan with symmetric pair, use that as starting point for iterative optimization

More dipoles:

sequential dipole fitting









**BESA** manual



**BESA** manual



**BESA** manual

Spread of cortical activity

Assume that activity starts "small" explain earliest ERP component with single equivalent current dipole
Assume later activity to be more widespread add ECDs to explain later ERP components estimate position of new dipoles re-estimate the activity of all dipoles Overview

## Motivation and background Forward modeling Source model Volume conductor model Inverse modeling - biophysical models Single and multiple dipole fitting Distributed source models Beamforming methods Inverse modeling - independent components Summary

Position of the source is not estimated as such Pre-defined grid (3D volume or on cortical sheet)

### Strength is estimated

In principle easy to solve, however...
More "unknowns" (parameters) than "knowns" (measurements)
Infinite number of solutions can explain the data perfectly
Additional constraints required

Linear estimation problem

### Distributed source model



### Distributed source model



### Distributed source model: linear estimation

$$Y = G_1 q_1 + G_2 q_2 + \dots = \begin{bmatrix} G_{1,1} & G_{2,1} & \cdots \\ G_{1,2} & G_{2,2} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \\ G_{1,N} & G_{2,N} & \cdots \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{G} \cdot \vec{q}$$

$$\vec{q} = \mathbf{G}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{Y}$$

Distributed source model: linear estimation

distributed source model with **many dipoles** throughout the whole brain

estimate the strength of all dipoles

data and noise can be perfectly explained



Distributed source model: regularization

$$V = G \cdot q + Noise$$

$$\min_{q} \{ \|V - G \cdot q \|^{2} \} = 0 !!$$

#### Regularized linear estimation:

assumptions

Overview

## Motivation and background Forward modeling Source model Volume conductor model Inverse modeling - biophysical models Single and multiple dipole fitting Distributed source models **Beamforming methods** Inverse modeling - independent components Summary

Spatial filtering with beamforming

Position of the source is not estimated as such Manipulate filter properties, not source properties No explicit assumptions about source constraints (implicit: single dipole) Assumption that sources that contribute to the data

should be uncorrelated

Beamformer: the question

# What is the activity of a source **q**, at a location **r**, given the data **y**?

We estimate **q** with a spatial filter **w** 



$$\stackrel{\wedge}{\mathbf{q}}_{\mathbf{r}}(t) = \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{r})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{y}(t)$$

Overview

## Motivation and background Forward modeling Source model Volume conductor model Inverse modeling - biophysical models Single and multiple dipole fitting Distributed source models Beamforming methods **Inverse modeling - independent components** Summary

### Estimating source timecourse activity

$$Y = G_1X_1 + G_2X_2 + ... + G_nX_n + noise$$

## Estimating source timecourse activity using dipole fitting

$$Y = G_1 X_1 + G_2 X_2 + ... + G_n X_n + noise$$

n is typically small



Estimating source timecourse activity using distributed source models

$$Y = G_1 X_1 + G_2 X_2 + ... + G_n X_n + noise$$

n is typically large (> # channels)

$$Y = (G_1X_1 + G_2X_2 + ... + G_nX_n) + noise$$

Y = G X + noise

X' = W Y, where W ensures  $\min_{X} \{ || Y - G \cdot X ||^2 + \lambda \cdot || X ||^2 \}$ 

## Estimating source timecourse activity using spatial filtering

$$Y = G_1 X_1 + G_2 X_2 + ... + G_n X_n + noise$$

any number of n

$$Y = (G_1X_1 + G_2X_2 + ...) + G_nX_n + (noise)$$

$$X'_{n} = W_{n}Y$$
, where  $W^{T} = [G_{n}^{T}C_{Y}^{-1}G_{n}]^{-1}G_{n}^{T}C_{Y}^{-1}$ 

#### Estimating source timecourse activity

$$Y = G_1 X_1 + G_2 X_2 + ... + G_n X_n + noise$$



Independent component analysis

### Mixture of Brain source activity











### Independent component analysis



Estimating source timecourse activity using independent component analysis

$$Y = G_1 X_1 + G_2 X_2 + ... + G_n X_n + noise$$

*n* typically the same as the number of channels

Y = G(X + noise)

*includes line-noise, EOG, ECG and other noise that is visible on all channels* 

X' = W Y, where W maximizes the independence of X' rows of W<sup>-1</sup> correspond to G<sub>1</sub>, G<sub>2</sub>, ...

### Estimating source timecourse activity

$$Y = G_1 X_1 + G_2 X_2 + ... + G_n X_n + noise$$



Source modelling of independent components

Components have (maximal) independent timecourses

- Unmixing of timeseries has already been taken care of
- Assumption: components correspond to compact spatial patches (or bilateral patches)
- Use simple biophysical dipole models to model the spatial component topographies
- It can be challenging to match ICA sources over subjects

Overview

## Motivation and background

Forward modeling

Source model

Volume conductor model

EEG versus MEG

### Inverse modeling - biophysical models

Single and multiple dipole fitting

Distributed source models

Spatial filtering

Inverse modeling - independent components

### Summary

### Summary 1

### Forward modelling

Required for the interpretation of scalp topographies Different methods with varying accuracy

### Inverse modelling

Estimate source location and timecourse from data

### Assumptions on source locations

- Single or multiple point-like source
- Distributed source

Assumptions on source timecourse

- Uncorrelated (and dipolar)
- Independent

Summary 2

Independent component analysis separates topography and timecourse no biophysical assumptions (yet) Inverse methods to interpret topography Single or multiple point-like source Distributed source


## Source analysis is not only about the "where" but also about untangling the "what" and "when"



## Independent components are dipolar



Delorme et al. Independent EEG sources are dipolar. PLoS One. 2012.

## Independent components are dipolar



Delorme et al. Independent EEG sources are dipolar. PLoS One. 2012.